

School-located influenza vaccination

National Influenza Vaccine Summit
May 19th, 2010

Cindy Weinbaum MD MPH
Immunization Services Division
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION



SAFER · HEALTHIER · PEOPLE

What can school located vaccination accomplish?

- Do/can school-located vaccination programs achieve high levels of coverage?
- Does achieving high levels of vaccination in school aged children reduce the community impact of influenza?
 - Has a study been done, or can it be done, to definitively answer this question?



Influenza and school-aged children

- School-aged children have the highest rates of infection¹
- Routine vaccination of school-aged children would benefit children, but could reduce burden among their contacts and the community at large²
- Disease models have shown that, if vaccine supply were limited such as during a pandemic, vaccinating school children would be the most efficient disease control approach³

1 - Fiore AE et al. Prevention and Control of Influenza Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR 57(RR07);1-60, 2008

2 - King JC et al. Effectiveness of school-based influenza vaccination. N Eng J Med 14:2523-32, 2006

3 - Germann TC et al. Mitigation strategies for pandemic influenza in the United States. Proc Natl Acad Sci 103:5935-40

3

Why consider school-located vaccination?

- Providers may not be able to accommodate so many new patient visits
- Convenient for parents
 - Taking time off work for a provider visit is challenging
- May be convenient/practical for vaccinators (e.g., public health departments)
 - Many children are found in schools
 - Schools can usually accommodate mass vaccination clinics
 - Schools have some pre-existing infrastructure
 - School nurses can be supportive and assist

4

Challenges

- Vaccinating outside of the medical home may reduce the incentive to see provider
- Burden and opportunity costs can be high
 - School nurses – often overworked and understaffed
 - Health department staff – often overworked and understaffed
 - Teachers and school administrators – concerned about the disruption and time away from class
- Finding the time and know-how to start a program can be intimidating

5

Challenges (2)

- Participation rates are consistently low (typically <50%, less with older children) – could we improve?
 - Seeking provider support for school-located vaccination
 - Raising parental awareness of the new recommendations for children
 - Recognizing that parents may need time to get used to the idea of vaccination occurring in schools
 - Improving consent form return rate (e.g., crumpled-in-bottom-of-backpack syndrome)
- Same challenges as exist with influenza vaccination in general
 - Belief that the vaccine “causes” influenza
 - Belief that the vaccine is ineffective
 - General anti-vaccine sentiment
- Sustainability
 - Donated vaccine may be time-limited
 - Billing for vaccine and administration fee?

6

Past experience with school vaccination

- 4 state study (King JC et al, NEJM 2006)
 - 24 public elementary schools in MD, TX, MN and WA
 - 47% vaccinated (30-56%)
- 3 Minnesota counties (Hull et al, Vaccine 2008)
 - K - 12: 33%, 54%, 58% by county -- 41% combined
 - Elementary: 47%, middle and high school 33%
- Hawaii (Effler et al , EID 2010)
 - Children 5-13 yrs: 46%; highest in 6 year olds (54%) and lowest for 13 year olds (30%)
- Knoxville, TN (Poehling et al, Vaccine2009)
 - Children 5-12 yrs: 44%

7


SLV Clinics, October 19-23, 2009 (n=45 project areas)

- Status of school clinics
 - Held small number of school clinics (n=13; 29%)
 - Postponed or cancelled school clinics (n=20; 44%)
 - Holding doses for school clinics (n=15; 33%)
 - Waiting to schedule school clinics when more vaccine is available (n=16; 36%)

University of Michigan/CDC unpublished data

8

Cumulative H1N1 and Seasonal Vaccination Coverage among School-Aged Children (5-17 years), by State, NHFS January 2010 interviews



9

School vaccination: main models

- During school
- Afternoon, evening, weekend clinics at school
- Students transported to central sites

10

Variation in coverage, H1N1 school-located vaccination

Rhode Island : 421 schools:

~73% (range 33% to 100%)

Maine, 4 counties, 133 schools: (k-8)

~53% (range 21% to 80%)

Arkansas, 1100 public schools:

~36% (preliminary estimate)

Note: reported April/May 2010; reproducibility for seasonal vaccine is unknown

11

Indirect protection from school-located vaccination efforts

Central Texas Trial

- Vaccination in schools to:
 - Provide direct protection and improved vaccination coverage in school-aged children
 - Provide indirect protection to others in intervention communities.
- Impact is measured by comparison to neighboring control communities.
- Multi-year trial in school-aged children
 - Clinic-based from 1998 – 2002
 - Outreach-based from 2003 – 2006
 - School-based from 2007 to present.
- Investigator-initiated trial funded by MedImmune (DMID/NIAID/NIH funded from 1998-2007).

Piedra, Glezen and Gaglani, unpublished data; slide courtesy of MedImmune

12

Vaccination Coverage in School-Aged Children in Intervention Cities

- Seasonal Vaccines: 55% coverage (59% elementary, 51% middles & 40% high schools)
 - 13,107 children were vaccinated
 - 72% received LAIV
 - 28% received TIV
- Pandemic Vaccines: 36% coverage (42% elementary, 32% middles & 19% high schools)
 - 8,670 children were vaccinated
 - 90% received mLAIIV
 - 10% received mTIV

Piedra, Glezen and Gaglani, unpublished data; slide courtesy of MedImmune

13

H1N1 Illness In Intervention and Comparison Cities

Pandemic Vaccination Period Sep 23 – Dec 12, 2009

Age	Temple-Belton (intervention cities)		Waco, Bryan & College Station (comparison cities)		Chi square with Yates correction	
	Total No.	No. of H1N1 Infections (%)	Total No.	No. of H1N1 Infections (%)	P value	RR (95% CI)
< 4	103	33 (32%)	62	25 (40.3%)	0.36	0.87 (0.67-1.13)
4 - 9	147	57 (38.8%)	99	68 (68.7%)	<0.001	0.61 (0.49-0.76)
10 - 18	131	61 (46.6%)	95	57 (60%)	0.06	0.8 (0.64-1.0)
19 - 49	118	21 (17.8%)	157	54 (34.4%)	0.004	0.58 (0.39-0.85)
50 - 64	30	9 (30%)	27	3 (11.1%)	0.11	NA
≥ 65	7	0 (0%)	6	1 (16.7%)	1.0	NA
Total	536	181 (33.8%)	446	208 (46.6%)	<0.001	0.78 (0.69-0.88)

Piedra, Glezen and Gaglani, unpublished data; slide courtesy of MedImmune

14

School-Located Influenza Vaccination Evaluation Goals

- To determine the coverage achieved in selected school-located vaccination sites
- To determine the extent to which and how selected sites implemented specific vaccine administration elements
- To identify strengths and areas of improvement associated with implementing specific vaccine administration elements across selected SLV sites

15

Evaluation Topics

- Consent Forms
 - Process; Return rates
- Staffing
 - Source of staff (DOH, School, Comm. Vaccinator)
 - Use /number of staff/volunteers
- Vaccine
 - Type of vaccine offered (LAIV, Inactivated, both)
 - Vaccine offered to non-students (parents, staff, etc)
 - Number vaccinated
 - Storage and handling practices
 - Plans for 2nd doses
- Communications with stakeholders
- Billing processes
 - fees charged

16

2010-2011 seasonal flu vaccination – school-located vaccination plans

- Survey of Immunization programs (n=53)
 - 31 planning school-located seasonal flu vaccination
 - Vaccine prebooked for school-located vaccination
 - Additional resources requested


17

SLV resources

- CDC's "2009 H1N1 Influenza School-Located Vaccination (SLV): Information for Planners":
<http://www.cdc.gov/h1n1flu/vaccination/slv/planners.htm>
- Department of Education's 2009 H1N1 influenza website:
<http://www.ed.gov/h1n1flu/>
- National Association of School Nurses' "Don't get sidelined by the flu: Influenza prevention and treatment education program" :
<http://www.nasn.org/Default.aspx?tabid=316>
- *Journal of School Nursing* supplement - February 2009 issue
- Public local health department and education colleagues

18

Thank you



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION



SAFER · HEALTHIER · PEOPLE